No. 4 4810 19

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF DELA

1952 TERM

DELA Woffice-Supreme Court, U.S.

NOV 13 1952

Francis B. Gebhart, et al.,

Appellant

YAROLD B. WILLEY, Clerk

ETHEL LOUISE BELTON, an Infant, by her Guardian ad Litem, Ethel Belton, et al.,

Appellees.

Francis B. Gebhart, et al.,

Appellants,

VS.

No. 16

No. 15

SHIRLEY BARBARA BULAH, an Infant, by her Guardian ad Litem, Sarah Bulah, et al.,

Appellees.

ETHEL LOUISE BELTON, an Infant, by her Guardian ad Litem, Ethel Belton, et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

VS.

No. 17

Francis B. Gebhart, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

SHIRLEY BARBARA BULAH, an Infant, by her Guardian ad Litem, Sarah Bulah, et al.,

 $Plaintiffs ext{-}Appellants,$ 78.

No. 18

Francis B. Gebhart, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

APPENDIX OF APPELLEES (PLAINTIFFS BELOW) AND PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS

On Appeal from the Court of Chancery, New Castle County

LOUIS L. REDDING

JACK GREENBERG

Counsel for Appellees (Plaintiffs below)

and Plaintiffs-Appellants.

INDEX

	PAGE
Relevant Docket Entries	1a
Complaint	3a
Exhibit 1	12a
Exhibit 2	13a
Answer	14a
Pre-Trial Order	18a
Relevant Docket Entries	21a
Complaint	22a
Exhibit 1	32a
Exhibit 2	33a
Exhibit 3	35a
Exhibit 4	36a
Exhibit 5	37a
Answer	38a
Pre-Trial Order	42a
Transcript of Testimony	45a
Opinion	189a
Order	208a
Notice of Appeal	210a
Notice of Cross Appeal	9199

ii

PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES

The late to be	PAGE
Ethel Louise Belton:	
Direct	46a
Cross	49a
Redirect	50a
Ethel Belton:	
Cross	51a
Sarah Bulah:	
Direct	51a
Stephen J. Wright:	
Direct.	55a
Cross	66a
Fredric Wertham:	
Direct	69a
Ellis O. Knox:	
Direct	97a
Paul F. Lawrence:	
Direct	101a
Redirect	121a
Rebuttal—Direct	185a
Cross	186a
Otto Klineberg:	
Direct	1219

SCHOOL OF LAW TARLTON LAW LIBRARY

iii

Jerome S. Bruner:	PAGE
Direct	123a
George A. Kelly:	
Direct	129a
Ardwin J. Dolio:	
Direct	133a
Dan W. Dodson:	
Direct	137a
Maurice E. Thomasson:	
Direct	143a
John Kenneth Morland:	
Direct	146a
George Gorham Lane:	
Direct	151a
Frederick B. Parker:	
Direct	15 1 a
Cross	
Kenneth Clark:	
Direct	16Sa

iv

Defendants' Witnesses

Ward I. Miller:		PAGI
Cross		158 <i>i</i>
Harvey E. Stahl:		
Direct		162a
Cross		163a
Redirect	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	164a
George A. Johnson:		
Cross		165a
Harry B. King:		
Cross		172a
Rene L. Herbst:		
Cross	······································	175a
Robert C. Stewart:	.*	
Cross		175a
John Shilling:		
Direct		177a
George R. Miller, Jr.:		
Cross	***************************************	177a
Recross		185a

[380] John Kenneth Morland, called as a witness on behalf of the plaintiffs, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

Direct examination by Mr. Greenberg.

Q. Would you please state your full name for the record, please, Mr. Morland? A. John Kenneth Morland.

- Q. Would you tell the court about your educational background, Dr. Morland? A. I went through public elementary and high schools in Birmingham, Alabama, and received my B.S. degree from Birmingham Southern College in Birmingham, Alabama. I received a Bachelor of Divinity degree from Yale University Divinity School, and Doctor's degree in sociology and anthropology from the University of North Carolina.
- Q. Would you please tell the court what positions you have held, Dr. Morland? [381] A. At the present time I am Assistant Professor of Sociology and Anthropology at the College of William and Mary.
- Q. Where is that, Dr. Morland? A. At Williamsburg, Virginia. In the past I have taught in secondary schools in Tennessee, in Connecticut, and in China in the Yale-in-China Association.

I have been Executive Secretary of Yale-in-China, and I have been Research Assistant for the Institute of Social Research at the University of North Carolina.

- Q. Would you please state the professional societies to which you belong? A. American Anthropological Association, the American Sociological Society, the Southern Sociological Society.
- Q. Are you the author of a publication entitled Mill Village Life in a Piedmont Town: A Cultural Analysis, which is about to be published by the North Carolina Press? A. That is true.

437

438

439

Q. Dr. Morland, assume that in the State of Delaware there is a system of legally racially segregated schools, that a Negro child must attend a school for Negroes only, but that if there were no segregation he would attend a school not limited to Negroes only. Assuming further all other educational opportunities to be equal, does this enforced legal segregation [382] injure the Negro child? A. I think it does.

Q. Would you please explain your answer? A. I believe it does because it means in essence that he receives an inferior type of education. I say this because in psychological terms in this particular situation the Negro child is separated and excluded from the main stream of American culture. I mean by that term, the main way of life of the American people. In the case of the Negro student coming from a traditional minority group, that particular way of life is considered by the majority to be inferior.

Now, elaborating on that, I consider education to be the development of the individual—that is, more than learning from books. It also involves a give and take situation in the classroom, with teachers and with other students, participating in the total life of the school. Now, if the Negro child is separated from what I have termed this main stream of culture, it means in essence that he is not getting the same kind of education that the white children are, and he is getting to that extent an inferior education.

Now may I elaborate what I mean by this on the doctoral dissertation that I undertook in the South Carolina town? This doesn't refer to white and Negro separation, but [383] it concerns a mill village section of a South Carolina town. Mill village people occupy a very low status position for traditional and historical reasons that I will not go into now, but they are looked down upon by the people in town who have the primary power in the community.

One particular mill section is cut almost in half by the city limits. The children within the town attend school

440

1.11

148a

John Kenneth Morland—For Plaintiffs—Direct.

along with other children in the city there. Those children outside the city limits attend a school at which only mill children attend.

The resulting effects of this are noticeable. Those children who mingle with the town children in the town school develop a way of life that far more closely approximates that of the majority group than the other group that lives outside the city limits and which associates only with the mill children.

Some of those very noticeable effects are the way they dress, their general rules of etiquette and manner, and certainly in the realm of desire to get ahead and ambition in life.

443

442

There are noticeable differences there. We had here what is probably a sociology experiment, because we had most of the important variables controlled. All of those children [384] came from a similar background. They came from a similar neighborhood. The school facilities where not entirely equated are similar to the extent that textbooks are the same, that teachers are white middle class women from the town proper, that the type of education they get at least in the formal sense approximates what they are supposed to get in the town school.

The vital variable there which comes out is the fact that those that attend the town school mingle and have the give and take with the members who come from the main stream of culture, and thereby participate in that culture and learn to take part in that manner, whereas those who

live outside the city limits do not.

As I say, there is some difference in the actual school buildings. You have a larger building in the town, better equipment all in all, but I think the main variable there, and it is backed up by the corroboration of those in the school situation there—the main variable there is that one group is isolated or excluded from the main stream of culture, and the other group is not.

149a

John Kenneth Morland—For Plaintiffs—Direct.

445

Q. Do you believe you can generalize on that sociological experiment to the conditions that I set forth in my hypothetical question as to Negro segregation? [385] A. Yes, I think I can, and I think under this type of condition you compound this type of inferiority, I suppose, and in addition you affect the personal growth and development of the Negro child. Now, however else it might be realized, the segregation of schools in the south in my own experience and from everything I have read implies, denotes, or at least connotes a mark of inferiority for the Negro child.

Southern children in my experience were told at the time when the veil of segregation fell between white and Negro children, that the Negro children were not as good as the white children and therefore they received a different sort of treatment. Now, that has a tremendous effect on the personality development of this Negro child.

Social psychologists tell us that as we grow up we get our attitudes toward ourselves from what other people think of us. That has been said in *The Looking Glass Self*.

Q. Who is the author of that? A. Charles Horton Cooley.

The person takes on the attitude of others, that others have. Here in this situation the attitude toward the Negro is that he is inferior, and he takes on this particular attitude.

Now, that wouldn't be a serious thing if our society [386] were not a democratic one. I mean that perhaps in a situation like that of India where caste lines are well accepted that you would not have this difficulty that I am next going to get to.

Having once been given this impression of himself in the eyes of others, the Negro child then goes into his classroom, hears over the radio other official pronouncements and in every other way broadcast by our culture that this is a land of freedom and equality and opportunity regardless of a person's background. Now, there are at least 446

447

150a

John Kenneth Morland—For Plaintiffs—Direct.

one or two ways in which the Negro can react to that. In the first place he can say, "Well, all this equality they are talking about doesn't really apply to me, and I am inferior after all." In that sort of situation the psychologist states we get a real harm to the personality, a feeling of self-

hatred develops.

The other horn of the dilemma, the other possible reaction is to fight against this thing and say, "After all I am not inferior and I am going to prove that I am not." In that situation the literature shows you have a great deal of frustration and some over-compensation with possible successes in some fields, but regardless of either one of those reactions you do great harm

those reactions you do great harm.

Q. Dr. Morland, in your opinion would the elimination of legally enforced segregation at the elementary and high school [387] level diminish these harmful consequences which you have described? A. I think definitely it would. I think so for several reasons. On the one hand in most situations where you didn't have complete geographical segregation you would have commingling of white and Negro students with this give and take I have talked about, this acquiring of the main facets of American culture.

On the other hand, doing away with legal segregation would take away this onus that this segregation implies,

this inferiority that I speak about.

In the third place we have found in sociological experiments that attitudes—in this case including prejudice—are the result of ideas rather than contact with individuals and situations, and in no case in reading the literature and in my own personal experience do I know of an unfavorable situation that has developed as a result of doing away with these bars of segregation. Perhaps I should cite some instances there.

450

448